Scotts Branch High 9253 Alex Harvin Highway Summerton, SC 29148

Grades 8-12 High School

Enrollment 474 Students

Principal Corey Burgess 803–478–7818

Superintendent Dr. Rose H. Wilder 803–485–2325

Board Chair John D. Bonaparte 803–478–8711

The State of South Carolina

Annual School Report Card 2005

ABSOLUTE RATING

BELOW AVERAGE

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

0 2 3 4 7

IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

О

This school met 12 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> www.myscschools.com www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2002	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Average	Excellent	No
2004	Average	Unsatisfactory	No
2005	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	No

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

Passed no subtests

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS **High Schools with Our School** Students Like Ours Percent 2004 2005 2006 2004 2005 2006 55.9 Passed 2 subtests 51.9 59.7 N/A 56.6 N/A Passed 1 subtest 21.7 25.0 N/A 20.0 21.5 N/A

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2005 Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours Percent 88.9% 87.9%

15.3

N/A

23.4

22.6

N/A

26.4

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP							
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	27.1	4.1					
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	27.1	4.1					
Seniors who met the grade point average	27.1	30.1					

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE							
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Number of Students	87	54					
Number of Diplomas	53	90					
Rate	60.9%	60.9%					

	Exit Exam Rate by Sp	•	Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective
All Students	72	88.9	70	27.1	87	60.9	NO
Gender							
Male	33	84.8	30	23.3	39	46.2	N/A
Female	39	92.3	40	30.0	48	72.9	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	2	I/S	0	N/A	2	I/S	N/A
African American	70	88.6	69	26.1	84	61.9	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Hispanic	0	N/A	1	I/S	0	N/A	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
Non disabled	68	92.6	N/A	N/A	78	66.7	N/A
Disabilities other than speech	4	I/S	7	I/S	9	I/S	N/A
Migrant Status							
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A

88.9

N/A

88.9

88.9

I/S

N/A

0

N/A

63

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

28.6

N/A

N/A

0

86

69

17

N/A

N/A

61.6

66.7

41.2

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

72

0

72

63

Non-migrant

English Proficiency

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status

Non-Limited English Proficient

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GRO	OLID								
HOAF TENTONMANCE DE CIN		-	Ι.,	. /	-	Τ.	% Proficient and	$\supset \int_{-\pi}$. / .
	Enrollment 1st Day of Town	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced		Performance Objective	Participation Objective M
	\\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		Mog	Ba] Joji	d/a	J ^c icie		
	Ellino Payo	2/ %	/ % B	/ %	/ %	/ %	/g %		[] # B
			/	/	/	/	00. A	<u> </u>	
All Students	nglish/Lan 71	guage Art	s - State 17.6	Performa 41.2	nce Obje	7.4	.3% 51.5	YES	YES
Gender	1 /1	100.0	17.0	41.2	33.0	7.4	31.3	IES	TES
Male	38	100.0	26.3	31.6	39.5	2.6	47.4	N/A	N/A
Female	33	100.0	6.7	53.3	26.7	13.3	56.7	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group		100.0	0	00.0	20.1	10.0	00.7	14,71	
White	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	66	100.0	18.8	37.5	35.9	7.8	54.7	YES	YES
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	57	100.0	9.3	38.9	42.6	9.3	63.0	N/A	N/A
Disabled	14	100.0	50.0	50.0	N/A	N/A	7.1	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	71	100.0	17.6	41.2	33.8	7.4	51.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency			21/2	NUA	21/4	NUA	21/4		110
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	71	100.0	17.6	41.2	33.8	7.4	51.5	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals	54	100.0	14.8	40.7	38.9	5.6	55.6	YES	YES
Full-pay meals	17	100.0	28.6	42.9	14.3	14.3	35.7	N/A	N/A
	•	•	•	•	•	•	J 55.7] 11//	IN/A
	Mathemati						05.0	VE0	\/F0
All Students	71	100.0	38.2	30.9	25.0	5.9	35.3	YES	YES
Gender	20	100.0	26.0	24.2	10.4	10.5	24.2	NI/A	NI/A
Male Female	38	100.0	36.8 40.0	34.2 26.7	18.4 33.3	10.5 N/A	34.2 36.7	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	33	100.0	40.0	20.7	33.3	IN/A	30.7	IN/A	IN/A
White	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
African American	66	100.0	34.4	32.8	26.6	6.3	37.5	YES	YES
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	57	100.0	25.9	35.2	31.5	7.4	44.4	N/A	N/A
Disabled	14	100.0	85.7	14.3	N/A	N/A	0.0	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	71	100.0	38.2	30.9	25.0	5.9	35.3	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	71	100.0	38.2	30.9	25.0	5.9	35.3	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status	-	400.5	05.5	00.5	07.6		40.5	\ \/E6	\/F0
Subsidized meals	54	100.0	35.2	29.6	27.8	7.4	40.7	YES	YES
Full-pay meals	17	100.0	50.0	35.7	14.3	N/A	14.3	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
Studente (n= 474)	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 474)	47.00/	Ha franc 47 00/	44.40/	0.40/
Retention rate Attendance rate	17.9% 97.4%	Up from 17.8% Down from 97.9%	14.4% 94.7%	8.1% 95.6%
Eligible for gifted and talented	9.6%	Up from 8.0%	3.1%	5.9%
With disabilities other than speech	14.0%	Up from 13.2%	17.4%	13.3%
Older than usual for grade	19.8%	Up from 18.2%	18.3%	10.1%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses		Down from 1.7%	0.6%	2.0%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	12.3%	Up from 9.1%	4.7%	9.7%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	17.2%	53.7%
Annual dropout rate	4.4%	Up from 1.7%	2.8%	3.0%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	4.7%	Up from 0.0%	4.7%	3.1%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	253	Up from 117	289	431
Students participating in worked-based experiences	4.4%	Up from 0.0%	15.9%	23.4%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	76.3%	Up from 75.8%	73.1%	78.6%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	98.5%	99.4%
Teachers (n= 26)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	34.6%	Down from 40.0%	50.0%	54.5%
Continuing contract teachers	80.8%	Down from 83.3%	69.6%	78.6%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	95.5% 8.0%	Up from 94.4% Down from 14.8%	85.3% 16.0%	89.1% 9.1%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	73.8% 94.6%	Down from 79.3% Up from 89.4%	77.5% 94.7%	86.9% 95.4%
Average teacher salary	\$38,058	Down 6.1%	\$41,758	\$42,426
Prof. development days/teacher	11.6 days	Up from 6.9 days	11.6 days	10.9 days
School				
Principal's years at school	0.5	Down from 1.5	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	27.1 to 1	Up from 26.0 to 1	21.0 to 1	25.8 to 1
Prime instructional time	88.6%	Up from 84.5%	87.0%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,129	Up 0.1%	\$8,896	\$6,422
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	54.7%	Up from 53.9%	54.5%	57.7%
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	Down from Fair	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	81.7% No	Up from 57.1% No change	83.9% Yes	91.1% Yes
Character development program	Good	No change	Good	Good
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	O000	Our District		ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty school	ols	N/A		.4%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty scho		93.7%		.1%
riging qualified todollors in riigh poverty solle	.0.0	State Objective		Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school		65.0%		es
Student attendance in this school		95.3%		es
Ottatorit attoritation in this solitor		33.070		00

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The school year 2004-05 has been a very unique and challenging year. Our students continue to excel in all areas of our school and community. Some of our notable achievements are: our seniors were recipients of over \$1,600,000 in scholarships; 94 percent of our graduating seniors passed the Exit Exam; several athletic teams participated in state playoffs; and our preliminary Exit Exam scores show marked improvement over last year's.

We are continuing to work on student achievement and appear to be making good progress toward that end. We identified areas that needed additional strategies and developed a plan to address these. Scott's Branch High School is continuing to progress in the area of technology. In 2005-06 we will participate in a technology grant that will help teachers and students improve their technology proficiencies. We have planned several initiatives to help increase parent participation in their child's education. We look forward to working with our parents and students to make Clarendon School District One a stronger and better community.

Corey A. Burgess, Principal Mattie Logan, SIC Chair

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	14	48	28				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	64.3%	64.6%	69.2%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	78.6%	64.6%	57.7%				
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	42.9%	83.0%	57.7%				

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.